2 3

5

4

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

EMERSON LESLIE,

Plaintiff(s),

GENEVIEVE CRAGGS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

v.

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

23 24

25

26

27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01206-RFB-NJK

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On June 11, 2020, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Docket No. 16. In so doing, the Court found that Plaintiff failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. As to all claims in the complaint, the Court found that they were barred by the doctrine announced in Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994). Docket No. 16 at 4. In addition, the Court found that the claims against the parole board commissioners are barred by the doctrine of quasi-judicial immunity and that claims against the assistant district attorneys for malicious prosecution are barred by the doctrine of prosecutorial immunity. *Id.* at 3. Although it was not clear that Plaintiff could cure these deficiencies, the Court afforded him an opportunity to amend his complaint to the extent he believed he could do so. *Id.* at 5. The deadline to file an amended complaint was set for July 10, 2020. Id. The Court warned that "[f]ailure to file an amended complaint by the deadline set above will result in the recommended dismissal of this case." Id. (emphasis in original). To date, Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint and has not sought an extension of the applicable deadline.

Dated: July 17, 2020

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the previous order (Docket No. 16), the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED**.

Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE

This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation must file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may waive the right to appeal the district court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).